

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,243	09/12/2003	Stefan Sandberg	03370-P0055A	8697	
24126	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER		
	STEWARD JOHNSTON	KING, BRADLEY T			
986 BEDFOR STAMFORD	CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3683		
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,243	SANDBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bradley T King	3683	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradley T King	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	ducina or cimplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>7-70.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
		PATENT EXA	my 125/25/25/25/				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: note the amendment raises new issues with regards to 112 2nd.

The claims recite "at least one brake disc", a corresponding one brake disc", "the corresponding one brake disc" and "each of the at least one brake disc". It appears that "the at least one brake disc" and "the corresponding one brake disc are used inconsistently. 112 2nd issues also remain in claim 9.